## Emily Madsen emily.madsen@staffordshire.gov.uk

#### Lighting and ITS Manager Staffordshire County Council

Level 7 Master's dissertation research studying Senior Leadership Master's Degree apprenticeship at Wolverhampton University.

# Identify how to effectively plan and manage intelligent transport system assets, to enable innovation?

The biggest barrier for Staffordshire County Councils (SCC) ITS team is the limited budget, struggling to maintain the assets to the required level and to keep the assets in a safe condition is a monotonous continual cycle, which disables innovation of the assets. Other local authority colleagues have highlighted similar issues whilst networking at conferences and events. However, there are a few authorities who present their innovative ITS advancements to the industry, which sets the question, how are they managing to do this? The aim of the workbased project is to show a comparison between how SCC and other authorities manage ITS assets. This will highlight where improvements can be made by showing any inconsistencies across the industry. To maintain traffic signal assets to the required standard, equates to reduced costs to enable innovation. The importance of the research is to show the ITS industry more effective ways of working to enable innovation.

The main objectives of the project will be to identify how to:

- 1. programme asset management effectively.
- 2. increase innovation opportunities.
- 3. develop SCC as a leading industry innovator.

These three objectives interlink and can't be done without meeting each one. Successfully meeting objective one will improve working processes. Objective two links back to one, if the assets are being effectively programmed and they still can't be delivered, how can innovation help to further improve that? Being successful with these first two objectives will enable SCC to lead the industry delivering objective three.

#### **Questionnaires**

I initially undertook questionnaires to get the quantitative detail to allow more time to find the more detailed answers within the interviews. To limit ambiguity, the names of the authorities will remain anonymous. Due to the nature of different locales of authorities, they have been split into three sub-groups 'Rural', 'City' or 'National'. Their names begin with their sub-group followed by a letter. National Authorities (NA) are those working for National Highways, who run different areas of the strategic trunk road network. The results have been broken down into three sub-groups to see the differences between them. SCC are a rural authority, however, they have been noted separately, to enable the author to compare where their organisation sits in comparison.

The identification of the number of traffic signal and pedestrian assets were totalled, and an average of sites per employee for each authority was calculated.

WBP name	How many employees make up the ITS team within your authority?	How many assets total do you have?	Average number of sites per employee?	Average number of sites per employee, per subgroup?	
National A	2	147	74	70	
National B	10	670	67		5
City council C	1	279	279		
City council D	6	400	67		
City council E	17	892	52		
City council F	6	270	45	55	111
Rural Council G	2	267	134		
Rural Council H	9	330	37		
Rural Council I	7	413	59	85	5
Rural Council J	3	302	101		
Rural Council K	5	482	96		
SCC	4	657	164	16	i4

Question 1 - Average number of sites per employee, per subgroup

A data anomaly was recognised within some of the results for CC C, which is assumed to be due to the size of the city and its population, and because it's not recognised as a popular destination to visit in comparison to the others interviewed. If CC C is disregarded, the results show CC's have the most resource, followed by NA, showing RC have the least amount of resource.

WBP				CAR PARK							
name	VMS	CCTV	ANPR	SIGN	TAF's	VAS	BOLLARDS	WEATHER	ZEBRAS	Total	Average
National											
A										0	15
National											1.5
В	х	Х						х		3	
City											
council C	Х									1	
City											
council D	Х	Х	Х	Х						4	25
City											2.3
council E	Х			Х						2	
City											
council F	Х	Х		Х						3	
Rural											
Council G	Х			Х	Х	х				4	
Rural											
Council H		Х				Х				2	
Rural											4.2
Council I	х	х		х			х			4	4.2
Rural											
Council J	х	х		х		х				4	
Rural											
Council K	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	7	
SCC	Х				Х	Х				3	3

Question 2 - Asset types maintained by each authorities ITS team

RC's maintain an increased amount of assets of 4.2 average types, when comparing to CC's at 2.5, with NA teams with the lowest amount of types at 1.5

WBP name	Total % junctions	Junction Average	Total % crossings	Crossing Average	
National A	71	74	29	26	
National B	78	/4	22	20	
City council C	24		76		
City council D	70		30		
City council E	58	61	42	39	
City council F	56		44		
Rural Council					
G	42		58		
Rural Council					
Н	48		52		
Rural Council		39		61	
	36		64		
Rural Council					
J	37		63		
Rural Council					
К	30		70		
SCC	27	27	73	73	

## Question 3 - Percentages of junctions and crossings

NA's have the most junctions at 74% average in comparison to the other subgroups, whilst RC have more pedestrian crossings at 61%.

0 11 1	-				,	
$()     \Delta ction A =$	ROVANIA	hudaot	average	nor	SUD-roc	nun
	I CV CHUC	Duugut	average	DUI	Sub-rec	non
						,

WBP name	Total Assets	Revenue budget	Revenue average per asset	Sub Region Average	
National A	147	NIL	NIL	Unknown	
National B	670	Unsure	UNSURE	Unknown	
City council C	279	£295,000	£1,057		
City council D	400	NIL	NIL	£1 201 22	
City council E	892	£1,200,000	£1,345	1,201.52	
City council F	270	Unsure	UNSURE		
Rural Council G	267	£265,000	£993		
Rural Council H	330	£850,000	£2,576		
Rural Council I	413	£510,000	£1,235	£1,470.97	
Rural Council J	302	£520,000	£1,722		
Rural Council K	482	£400,000	£830		
SCC	657	£687,000	£1,046	£1,046	

Question 5 - Capital budget average per sub-region

	Total	Capital	Capital average per		
WBP name	Assets	budget	asset	Sub Regio	on Average
National A	147	£300,000.00	£2,041	62	041
National B	670	Unsure	Unsure	±2,041	
City council C	279	£500,000.00	£1,792		
City council D	400	£85,000.00	£213		
City council E	892	£600,000.00	£673	£295	
City council F	270	NIL	NIL		£892
Rural Council					
G	267	£452,000.00	£1,693		
Rural Council					
Н	330	£850,000.00	£2,576		
Rural Council				61	622
1	413	£250,000.00	£605	±1,	055
Rural Council					
1	302	NIL	NIL		
Rural Council				]	
К	482	£800,000.00	£1,660		
SCC	657	£634,000	£965	£	965

RC's are found to have more revenue spend. Whilst NA's have more capital spend

#### **Interviews**

An introductory question was asked 'What are the main barriers you and the team face?' To gain overall thoughts before going into finer detail. Resources were identified to be the main overall barrier faced by all subgroups. However, when looking into detail this was a bigger concern for RCs, with funding being the main barrier for CC's.



The interviews enabled me to identify themes within each of the objectives:

- Objective 1 the themes to enable teams to programme asset management effectively were identified as: Obsolescence, Funding, and Programming techniques.
- Objective 2 To enable innovation opportunities to be increased were identified as: Innovation support, Policies, and Specific resource.
- Objective 3 To develop SCC as a leading industry innovator were identified as: Resource, Skills, and Training.

#### Asset Management

For this objective I identified a research question, 'What are the constraints of ITS asset management?' the recognised constraints are obsolescence, funding, and programming techniques. By rectifying obsolescence in the early stages can eradicate issues. Due to long life cycle planning, suppliers need to stop focusing on competitive advantage and make innovations more cost effective to enable upgrades. Maximising funding will allow assets to be managed effectively, to enable this it was found participants highlighted: interesting ways for authorities to reduce contract costs; increased capital spend with limited revenue to encourage effective design; increased funding bid opportunities, due to high competition; and to evidence asset condition/ working processes through reporting to SLT/ DFT, to promote the priority of traffic signals to enable fair budget allocation/ bid awards. Programming techniques need to be concise and complex to enable efficient asset management, with preference of optimisation analysis tools such as IMTRAC.

#### Innovation

It was clearly identified innovation is critical in ITS to maximise budgets. To answer, 'How to increase ITS innovation?' the research and literature found the following will increase innovation: SLT support and flexible policies/ procurement. SLT drive's innovation however, according to the research few authorities didn't support innovation which is concerning and

needs addressing. With strict rules highlighted as an issue in public organisations innovation ensures safety, highlighting the importance of smaller innovation and not only focusing on larger innovation.

### **Competitive Advantage**

The identified research question 'Can excelling in innovation identify a competitive advantage?' was answered yes, by enabling efficient resource and skills, and by ranking authorities will enable innovation and gain a competitive advantage. The research found resource is constraining asset management with retention as a key issue due to private sector advantages. Development and progression need to be factored in to retain the experience and skill. Skills are essential due to complex traffic signal design standards and innovation. Specific innovation roles should be considered to gain the competitive advantage. However, the results emphasised the correct authority should lead it and others to follow. Training opportunities have improved in the industry; however, some authorities aren't able to attend which needs highlighting.

#### **Recommendations**

Recommendations need to be highlighted to local authorities to allocate more capital budget in comparison to revenue, as the participant analysis has proven it to be an effective way to asset manage. SLT's need to be made aware of the importance of enabling innovation opportunities as they can drive innovation; and training needs are essential for ITS teams to enable effective asset management and to be educated on upcoming innovations; these will both enable a competitive advantage. Internal reporting is recommended for works progressed within the team, showing measures to identify their success and failures, highlighting the performance, providing evidence to SLT. A final internal recommendation is to ensure ITS teams are considering smaller innovation within their asset renewal programmes and not to only concentrate on the larger innovative project, as these can reduce costs.

As well as encouraging internal recommendations, external bodies such as the DFT and suppliers/ contractors need to play their part. The DFT should provide further support to authorities, such as using the National Traffic Signal Report Card as a guide to help understand issues authorities are facing like obsolescence, funding, and condition of assets. Contractors also need to be guided by the DFT/ industry to recommend limiting obsolete equipment to enable cost effective upgrades where possible.

#### What have I done since finishing the research?

SCC ranked 'last but one' on the amount of resource per asset, further investigation into benchmarking across authorities is already underway. I have used the limited evidence I have gained so far and produces a business case which has been put forward to SCC SLT to increase resource.

#### **Conclusion**

Through conducting this research, I have gained a broader understanding of the underlying causes behind poor asset management. Initially, I attributed it to limited resources and funding. However, I have now identified other factors that can contribute to improving asset management without the need for additional funding. While authorities are currently grappling with financial constraints, I believe that providing the right support and ensuring effective program management through innovative approaches can enhance asset maintenance and prolong their lifecycle.

By implementing the internal recommendations at Staffordshire and considering all other identified mitigations, we can optimize our finances and maintain assets to the prescribed standard. Adopting the external recommendations will not only support authorities but also enhance all traffic signal assets across the UK, fostering opportunities for innovation.



